

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION TED

۱'	DEFORE THE ARIZONA COR	TORATION COMMISSION INC.
2	CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman	NUV 1 8 2000
3	JIM IRVIN	DOCKETED BY
4	Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	LUM
5	Commissioner	
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF) SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY,)	DOCKET NOS. T-02432B-00-0026 T-01051B-00-0026
7	L.P., FOR ARBITRATION OF) INTERCONNECTION RATES, TERMS)	DECISION NO. <u>63/35</u>
8	ARRANGEMENTS WITH U S WEST)	
9	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	<u>ORDER</u>
10	[• F	
11	November 7 and 8, 2000 Phoenix, Arizona	
12	BY THE COMMISSION:	

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On January 11, 2000, Sprint Communications Company, L.P. ("Sprint") and U S WEST Communications, Inc. (now "Qwest") filed a petition for arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act").
- 2. The 1996 Act directed incumbent local exchange carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants to the local exchange market. The 1996 Act provides for interconnection and resale agreements to be concluded by voluntary negotiation. If the parties cannot successfully negotiate all of the rates, terms and conditions of an interconnection agreement, any party could request that the Commission arbitrate any open issues.
- 3. On June 13, 2000, the Commission issued Decision No. 62650, setting forth its resolution of the issues in dispute and directing the parties to file a written Interconnection Agreement within thirty days containing the terms and conditions of interconnection, including both those items that were voluntarily resolved between the parties and those on which the Commission directed a resolution. On August 4, 2000, the parties filed the Agreement. On October 10, 2000, the parties re-filed the final Interconnection Agreement.

13

14

16 17

18 19

20

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

4. According to the 1996 Act and State Rule, the Commission must approve (or reject) the final Interconnection Agreement, deciding if its voluntarily-negotiated provisions are non-discriminatory and in the public interest. The Commission must also decide whether the arbitrated provisions are in compliance with the provisions of the 1996 Act.

- 5. In the arbitration proceedings, conducted by the Commission's Hearing Division, only those matters in dispute were considered and included in Decision No. 62650. The Hearing Division has reviewed the Interconnection Agreement between Sprint and USWC insofar as the issues subject to arbitration are concerned and has indicated that the contract language as presently written is in compliance with Decision No. 62650, and that there are no grounds for rejection pursuant to Section 252(e)(2)(B) of the 1996 Act.
- 6. Staff has reviewed the voluntarily negotiated provisions of the Interconnection Agreement and finds them to be non-discriminatory and in the public interest.
- 7. Since the voluntarily-negotiated portions of the Interconnection Agreement are non-discriminatory and in the public interest, and the arbitrated provisions are in conformance with Decision No. 62650, Staff recommends that the Interconnection Agreement between Sprint and Qwest be approved.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Sprint is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Sprint and Qwest and over the subject matter of the Interconnection Agreement of October 10, 2000.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the Application and Staff's Memorandum, has determined that the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Sprint and Qwest meets the requirements of Section 252(e)(2)(B) of the 1996 Act which governs the approval of Arbitrated agreements and is in the public interest.
- 4. The Commission maintains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Agreement and amendments thereof, to the extent permitted pursuant to the powers granted the Commission

Decision No. <u>63/35</u>

	Page 3 Docket Nos. T-02432B-00-0026, et al.		
1	by the Arizona Constitution, Statutes, Commission Rule, and the 1996 Act and the Rules		
2	promulgated thereunder.		
3	<u>ORDER</u>		
4	THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Commission hereby approves the		
5	Interconnection Agreement between Sprint and USWC filed on October 10, 2000.		
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
7	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
8	lackenacele gon home of Mille Mill		
9	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have		
11	hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of this		
12	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this		
13	01-124		
14	BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Secretary		
15			
16			
17			
18	DISSENT:		
19	DRS:EAA:jbc		
20 21			
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	II.		

Decision No. <u>63/35</u>